

Protecting the future of Bedford County's natural resources

October 8, 2020

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Plan Purpose
Plan Highlights
Key Findings
Opportunities for Success
Challenges to Implementation

II. INITIATIVES

Summary

Programmatic/Policy Recommendations

Priority Initiatives Detail

Preservation of Natural Areas

Agriculture

Riparian Buffers

Point Source Pollution

Stormwater

Education & Outreach

III. REPORTING AND SUPPORT DOCUMENTS

Proposed BMPs for Implementation Initiatives Tracking Document(s) (PADEP Planning Template) Programmatic Recommendations Document (PADEP Programmatic Template) Bedford County Snapshot

IV. APPENDIX

Bedford CAP Organizational Chart Projects and Initiatives Highlights Catchment Management Database

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Plan Purpose

The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) developed the third phase of their Chesapeake Bay Watershed Implementation Plan (Ph. III WIP) in 2018. The plan requires implementation of local water quality improvements by 2025 to meet statewide pollution reduction goals. PADEP's Ph. III WIP is based on a collaborative and bottom-up clean water planning approach between the state and each county in the Chesapeake Bay drainage area. This approach gives each county flexibility to create a plan that meets local needs and is unique to the jurisdiction.

Plan Highlights

The Bedford Countywide Action Plan (Bedford CAP) is a summary of approaches, initiatives, and considerations for existing and proposed water quality improvements in the county. The initiatives are intended to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources while preserving other community goals and focus areas. Local improvements will benefit the community while assisting the state with meeting its Chesapeake Bay obligations. The Bedford CAP is designed to provide a guiding framework for implementation tasks and activities to achieve meaningful local water quality improvements.

The Bedford CAP in conjunction with state efforts aims to reduce nearly 1.8 million pounds of nitrogen and 111,000 pounds of phosphorus annually to local streams and water resources through BMPs implemented by 2025. Additionally, the proposed BMPs will provide significant reductions in sediment (over 170 million pounds reduced annually). Despite the short time frame for BMP implementation, the Bedford CAP is also intended to serve as a long-term blueprint for improved local water quality beyond 2025.

The Bedford CAP is a dynamic and adaptive plan summarizing approaches and tracking implementation efforts for local water quality improvements. The plan is aspirational but realistic. The CAP will be updated on an annual basis and reports will be provided to both local stakeholders and PADEP through 2025 summarizing progress towards identified long-term goals or adjustments to overall approaches. Key goals and objectives of the Bedford CAP are:

- Capturing and memorializing collaborative and cooperative efforts of the many existing entities that have been working towards water quality improvements in Bedford County.
- Outlining realistic scenarios of Best Management Practices (BMPs) implementation balancing theoretical improvements with actual on-the-ground conditions.
- Development of a Catchment Management Database (CMD) to help guide targeting of BMP types and resources within priority small drainage basins.

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- CAP encompasses and considers all areas of the county (developed, agricultural, and forested/natural areas).
- Formation of a Steering Committee with experts and leaders across multiple sectors to help guide CAP development and implementation efforts through Action Teams.
- Organization of Action Teams focused on preservation of natural areas, agriculture, riparian buffers, point source pollution, stormwater, and education.

Key Findings

Success of the Bedford CAP implementation process will be dependent upon a combination of funding, regulatory flexibility, innovative techniques, and political will coming together. Key actions and considerations for that led CAP development and proposed for successful implementation include:

- Formation of a steering committee and action teams to guide CAP development and monitor implementation efforts.
- Creative and long-term funding streams.
- Well-organized and monitored set of long-term verification processes ensuring implemented BMPs continue to perform.
- A significant amount of both agricultural and stormwater BMPs currently implemented have not been captured in reductions.
- A significant portion of land use in Bedford County is natural and forested areas, and CAP implementation requires an elevated effort to preserve and/or or expand these areas.
- There are no MS4 permitted municipalities located in Bedford County.

Opportunities for Success

CAP development included the identification of appropriate collaborations, priority areas, and funding needs specific to Bedford County that would improve implementation success while providing extended benefits to the community. Opportunities and considerations that will improve success of CAP implementation include:

- Collaboration with the established groups currently leading strong initiatives in the county (e.g. Western Pennsylvania Conservancy, Trout Unlimited, Ridge Valley Streamkeepers, and so on).
- Potential National Fish and Wildlife Foundation (NFWF) grant program specific to Bedford County for project implementation.
- Coordinated and county-wide riparian buffers implementation and maintenance program.
- Tie stream de-listing efforts to overall CAP implementation efforts.
- Additional reductions immediately realized due to uncaptured implemented BMPs.

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- New and innovative stormwater management approaches that achieve both economic development improvements and protect local natural resources.
- Re-imagined education and outreach approach to increase public knowledge and buy-in.
- Foster collaborative arenas focusing on agricultural and urban area boundaries.
- Focus on wastewater treatment facilities, small package systems, and on-lot septic systems across the county.
- Alignment of data management platforms to ensure decision points and approaches by multiple groups complement each other in lieu of competing with one another or duplicating efforts.
- Combine considerations for aquifer protection, source water protection, and sinkhole remediation along with economic development opportunities, transportation initiatives, and agricultural preservation for a more fully integrated approach.
- Alignment of CAP implementation efforts with the Strategic Plan's goals and objectives of the Interstate Commission of the Potomac River Basin.

Challenges

Several opportunities for success and overall Bedford CAP implementation will inherently encounter challenges. How these challenges unfold will determine the level of successful implementation by 2025. Primary hurdles and challenges anticipated or known include:

- Funding for BMP implementation and limited resources in general.
- Continued engagement restrictions as a result of COVID-19.
- Efficient and effective long-term verification processes.
- Adoption of the fertilizer legislation at the state level.
- Public buy-in and extent of local landowner willingness to participate.
- Conflicting and/or inconsistent regulatory requirements.
- Relative short timeframe for BMP implementation to achieve significant nutrient reductions.
- Significant number of on-lot septic systems and/or small flow facilities.
- Enough resources for the capture of ag-related plans into PracticeKeeper.

INITIATIVES

<u>Summary</u>

The Bedford County Clean Water Action Plan includes actions and goals guide the county's clean water efforts for the next several years. These are included in the Bedford County Planning and Progress Templates and the State Programmatic Recommendations. For ease of review, the Priority Initiatives and Action Items they include are summarized below.

Priority Initiative 1: Preservation of Natural Areas

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- Action 1.1 Limit future development in current natural areas.
- Action 1.2 Promote and assist implementation of Wood and Pollinator habitat in priority areas
 - Conservation Landscaping 150 new acres
 - Urban Forest Planting 200 new acres
- Action 1.3 Promote and assist implementation of Urban Tree Canopy in priority areas
 - Urban Tree Canopy 5 new acres
- Action 1.4 Promote and assist implementation of Forest, Farm, and Wetland Conservation BMPs in priority areas
 - o Farmland Conservation 3,900 total acres
 - o Forest Conservation 4,000 total acres
 - Wetland Conservation 160 total acres
- Action 1.5 Explore potential for Bedford-county based preservation and conservation program

Priority Initiative 2: Agriculture

- Action 2.1 Develop a game plan for potential increased efficiencies or nutrient reductions with fertilizer applications
- Action 2.2 Implement and/or capture developed conservation plans into PracticeKeeper
- Action 2.3 Promote and assist implementation of Agricultural Compliance practices in priority areas
 - Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans (90,000 total acres)
 - Core Nitrogen Nutrient Management (76,000 total acres)
 - Core Phosphorus Nutrient Management (22,000 total acres)
 - O Barnyard Runoff Controls (9 new acres)
- Action 2.4 Promote and assist implementation of Soil Health practices in priority areas
 - O High Residue Tillage Management (26,000 acres/year)
 - Conservation Tillage Management (10,000 acres/year)
 - Traditional Cover Crops (13,000 acres/year)
 - Traditional Cover Crops with Fall Nutrients (17,000 acres/year)
 - Commodity Cover Crops (1,200 acres/year)
 - Prescribed Grazing (12,000 total acres)
- Action 2.5 Promote and assist implementation of expanded nutrient management practices in priority areas
 - Core Nitrogen Nutrient Management (13,000 acres)
 - o Core Phosphorus Nutrient Management (3,500 acres)
 - Nutrient Management-Nitrogen Rate (10,000 acres)
 - Nutrient Management-Phosphorus Rate (10,000 acres)
 - Nutrient Management-Nitrogen Placement (13,000 acres)
 - Nutrient Management-Phosphorus Placement (10,000 acres)
 - Nutrient Management-Nitrogen Timing (14,000 acres)
 - Nutrient Management-Phosphorus Timing (10,000 acres)
- Action 2.6 Promote and assist implementation of improved animal unit practices in priority areas

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- o Manure Storage Facilities 30,000 AUs
- O Dairy Precision Feeding 14,000 Dairy Cow AUs
- Manure Transport out of Bedford County 2,000 dry tons/year
- Action 2.7 Promote and assist implementation of land retirement BMPs
 - O Retirement to Ag Open Space 1,200 acres
- Action 2.8 Assist Riparian Buffers AT with implementation of buffers in agricultural riparian zones in priority areas
- Action 2.9 Expand implementation of cover crops (specific focus on alternative approaches that may count as reductions)

Priority Initiative 3: Riparian Buffers

- Action 3.1 Promote and assist implementation of buffers in agricultural riparian zones in priority areas
 - o Forest Buffer (2,300 new acres)
 - Forest Buffer with Streamside Exclusion Fencing (2,000 new acres)
 - o Grass Buffer (1,400 new acres)
 - O Grass Buffer with Streamside Exclusion Fencing (900 new acres)
- Action 3.2 Promote and assist implementation of buffers in non-agricultural riparian zones in priority areas
 - o MS4 Riparian Forest Buffers (40 new acres)
 - Non-MS4 Forest Buffers (140 new acres)
- Action 3.3 Explore model ordinance language for requiring buffers in development projects

Priority Initiative 4: Point Source Pollution

- Action 4.1 Develop or acquire more comprehensive inventory of septic systems in the county
- Action 4.2 Ascertain status of wastewater treatment facilities (including small treatment plants) and corresponding needs for improvements
- Action 4.3 Promote and assist the implementation of septic system improvements
 - O Septic Denitrification, Conventional 100 systems

Priority Initiative 5 Developed/Urban Stormwater

- Action 5.1 Develop model ordinances focused on water quality and stormwater management
- Action 5.2 Develop model ordinances focused on water quality and stormwater management
- Action 5.3 Identify regional project opportunities in select watersheds
- Action 5.4 Fertilizer legislation
- Action 5.5 Pursue regional stream and wetland restoration projects that provide significant additional benefits and reductions
 - O Urban Stream Restoration (30,000 new linear feet)
 - O Non-urban Stream Restoration (48,000 new linear feet)
 - Wetland Restoration (150 acres)

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- Action 5.6 Promote and assist implementation of urban/suburban sector controls for nutrient and sediment reductions
 - Advanced Grey Infrastructure for IDD&E Control (250 acres treated)
 - Impervious Surface Reduction (1 acre)
 - Urban Nutrient Management (3,400 acres)
- Action 5.7 Promote and assist implementation of stormwater control measures that incorporate Low Impact Development (LID) approaches
 - Wet Ponds and Wetlands (40 acres treated)
 - Stormwater Performance Standards-Runoff Reduction (350 acres treated)
 - Bioretention/Raingardens (15 acres treated)
 - Vegetated Open Channels (10 acres treated)
 - Filtering Practices (5 acres treated)
- Action 5.8 Promote and assist implementation BMPs tied to the Dirt & Gravel Road program
 - Outlets only 5,500 linear feet
 - O Driving Surface + Outlets 8,000 linear feet
 - O Driving Surface + Raising the Roadbed 54,000 linear feet

Priority Initiative 6: Education and Outreach

- Action 6.1 Provide support to other action teams with development of supporting education and outreach materials
- Action 6.2 Develop, implement, and manage a website with CAP supporting information specific to Bedford County
- Action 6.3 Provide oversight and guidance for the Bedford CAP Communications Plan

Programmatic/Policy Recommendations

Bedford County stakeholders identified a set of initial actions necessary to reduce policy and programmatic hurdles for implementation of certain BMPs or supporting activities identified in the CAP:

- Action 1.1 Expand the definition for cover crops to include other successful approaches accepted and working in Bedford County
- Action 1.2 Act 537 Plan funding
- Action 1.3 Watershed/regional permitting approaches

Priority Initiatives Detail

The Bedford CAP Priority Initiatives are centered around a set of considerations, focus areas, and actions intended to directly and indirectly support the implementation of BMPs across the county. The current slate of proposed BMPs captured by these initiatives fall approximately 5% short of planned overall reduction goals. However, the Bedford CAP is a dynamic and adaptive plan over the long run. After an approximate year of implementation activities, the action teams and steering committee will have a greater understanding of which BMPs are more

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

readily accepted and effective for achieving reductions. In turn, adjustments to proposed BMP implementation rates will be completed to help ensure long-term implementation matches or exceeds the current reduction goal.

The Bedford CAP includes a Catchment Management Database (CMD) found in the appendix. The intent of the CMD is to help all entities involved directly or indirectly with CAP implementation activities with a reference document of known or assumed conditions in catchments to assist with BMP type, amount, and/or location decision points (placement of BMPs where problems truly exist). The current CMD provides a baseline understanding of conditions; and the CMD will continue to be updated, modified, and so on to help all stakeholders.

Development of the Bedford CAP was guided by a Steering Committee with administrative support from the CAP Management Team. An organizational chart is provided in the appendix. The steering committee will provide over-arching guidance for CAP implementation. Additionally, the Steering Committee and/or the CAP Management Team will provide oversight and management of related CAP considerations and functions including, but not limited to:

- Coordination and collaboration arenas for stakeholders
- Programmatic and policy change needs
- "Boots on the ground" in gap areas to identify opportunities for BMP implementation, initiate engagement activities, and ascertain field conditions
- Ensuring or assisting implementation of existing proposed projects and initiatives
- Management of direct implementation funding
- Action Team technical support activities
- CAP and related tools administration and quality control

PRESERVATION OF NATURAL AREAS

- Description
 - An abundance of natural open spaces, forests, and parks can be found across Bedford County. Preservation, conservation, and/or expansion of these areas is a primary objective identified by stakeholders from multiple sectors.
 - o Implementation of conservation related BMPs is captured by this initiative.
 - The Preservation of Natural Areas Initiative will be managed by the Preservation of Natural Areas Action Team.
- Focus Areas
 - Existing natural spaces and forest areas across the county
 - Potential development of county-wide preservation program based on successful models and state requirements/allowances
 - Tailoring the recommendations and strategies for preserving PA's natural heritage outlined in a report by PennEnvironment to Bedford County
- Proposed BMPs (Wood and Pollinator Habitat)
 - Conservation Landscaping (150 new acres)

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- The conversion of managed turf into actively maintained perennial meadows, using species that are native to the Chesapeake Bay region.
- Urban Forest Planting (200 new acres)
 - Urban forest planning includes any tree planting except those used to establish riparian forest buffers. Trees are planted on pervious areas, and farther than 30'-80' from non-road impervious surfaces and forming contiguous patches greater than one-acre in extent.
- Proposed BMPs (Urban Tree Canopy)
 - MS4 Urban Tree Canopy (5 new acres)
 - Includes trees over roads and non-road impervious surfaces such as buildings and parking lots; and includes trees within 30'-80' of non-road impervious surfaces where the understory is assumed to be turf grass or otherwise altered through compaction, removal of surface organic material and/or fertilization.
- Proposed BMPs (Forest, Farm, and Natural Areas Conservation)
 - Farmland Conservation (3,900 total acres)
 - Land use change that simulates rate of farmland conservation based on participation in state programs and land trust activities.
 - Forest Conservation (4,000 total acres)
 - Land use change that simulates rate of forest conservation based on participation in state programs and land trust activities.
 - Wetland Conservation (160 total acres)
 - Conserves wetlands based on participation in state programs and land trust activities.
- Implementation Considerations
 - Challenges
 - Need for flexible preservation approaches in lieu of one size fits all approaches
 - Funding streams
 - Willing landowners to participate
 - Opportunities for Success
 - Baseline interest in expanded preservation and conservation of natural and forested areas already exists in the county
 - Significant presence of existing forests and state parks
 - Resources for Implementation
 - Local and county agencies and governments (BCCD, BCPC, etc.)
 - Non-profit partners (WPC, ACB, etc.)
 - State agencies (DCNR, DEP, etc.)
 - State and national experts/consultants

AGRICULTURE

Description

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- The agricultural sector will be a key driving factor for significant BMP implementation and long-term success of pollutant reductions.
- o Implementation of agricultural sector BMPs is captured by this initiative.
- The Agriculture Priority Initiative will be managed by the Agriculture Action Team.

Focus Areas

- Contiguous agriculture land use areas, with initial focus on red-coded catchment areas.
- Reconcile and/or increased understanding of ag-specific Bay model loadings and BMP reductions with on the ground real conditions.
- Expansion or inclusion of alternative approaches for cover crops.
- Conservation plan and/or ag-related BMP reductions capture across platforms into PracticeKeeper
- Explore increased efficiencies with fertilizer applications
- Long-term verification processes for implemented agricultural BMPs
- Proposed BMPs (Agriculture Compliance)
 - Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans (90,000 total acres)
 - Plans are a combination of agronomic, management and engineered practices that protect and improve soil productivity and water quality, and to prevent deterioration of natural resources on all or part of a farm. Plans must meet technical standards.
 - o Core Nitrogen Nutrient Management (76,000 total acres)
 - Applications of nitrogen are made in accordance with certain elements as applicable (e.g. land-grant university recommendations, spreader calibration, manure analysis, etc.)
 - Core Phosphorus Nutrient Management (22,000 total acres)
 - Applications of phosphorus are made in accordance with certain elements as applicable (e.g. land-grant university recommendations, spreader calibration, manure analysis, etc.)
 - Barnyard Runoff Controls (9 new acres)
 - This includes practices such as roof runoff control, diversion of clean water from entering the barnyard and control of runoff from barnyard areas.
- Proposed BMPs (Soil Health)
 - High Residue Tillage Management (26,000 acres/year)
 - A conservation tillage routine that involves the planting, growing and harvesting of crops with minimal disturbance to the soil in an effort to maintain at least 60 percent crop residue coverage immediately after planting each crop.
 - Conservation Tillage Management (10,000 acres/year)
 - A conservation tillage routine that involves the planting, growing and harvesting of crops with minimal disturbance to the soil in an effort to

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

maintain 30 to 59 percent crop residue coverage immediately after planting each crop.

- Traditional Cover Crops (13,000 acres/year)
 - A short-term crop grown after the main cropping season to reduce nutrient losses to ground and surface water by sequestering nutrients.
 This type of cover crop may not receive nutrients in the fall, and may not be harvested in the spring.
- Traditional Cover Crops with Fall Nutrients (17,000 acres/year)
 - A short-term crop grown after the main cropping season to reduce nutrient losses to ground and surface water by sequestering nutrients. This type of cover crop is planted upon cropland where manure is applied following the harvest of a summer crop and prior to cover crop planting. The crop may not be harvested in the spring.
- Commodity Cover Crops (1,200 acres/year)
 - A winter cereal crop planted for harvest in the spring which does not receive nutrient applications in the fall. Any winter cereal crop which did receive applications in the fall is not eligible for nutrient reductions.
- Prescribed Grazing (12,000 total acres)
 - This practice utilizes a range of pasture management and grazing techniques to improve the quality and quantity of the forages grown on pastures and reduce the impact of animal travel lanes, animal concentration areas or other degraded areas.
- Proposed BMPs (Expanded Nutrient Management)
 - Core Nitrogen Nutrient Management (13,000 acres)
 - Applications of nitrogen are made in accordance with certain elements as applicable (e.g. land-grant university recommendations, spreader calibration, manure analysis, etc.)
 - Core Phosphorus Nutrient Management (3,500 acres)
 - Applications of phosphorus are made in accordance with certain elements as applicable (e.g. land-grant university recommendations, spreader calibration, manure analysis, etc.)
 - Nutrient Management-Nitrogen Rate (10,000 acres)
 - Applications of nitrogen are made in accordance to all elements of the Nitrogen Core practice and an additional element from a list of options (e.g. Nitrogen applications are made using variable rate goals)
 - Nutrient Management-Phosphorus Rate (10,000 acres)
 - Applications of phosphorus are made in accordance to all elements of the Phosphorus Core practice and an additional element from a list of options (e.g. Phosphorus applications are made using variable rate goals)
 - Nutrient Management-Nitrogen Placement (13,000 acres)
 - Applications of nitrogen are made in accordance to all elements of the Nitrogen Core practice and an additional element from a list of options (e.g. Applications of inorganic nitrogen are injected into the subsurface or incorporated into the soil)

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- Nutrient Management-Phosphorus Placement (10,000 acres)
 - Applications of phosphorus are made in accordance to all elements of the Phosphorus Core practice and an additional element from a list of options (e.g. Applications of inorganic phosphorus are injected into the subsurface or incorporated into the soil)
- Nutrient Management-Nitrogen Timing (14,000 acres)
 - Applications of nitrogen are made in accordance to all elements of the Nitrogen Core practice, and are split across the growing season into multiple applications
- Nutrient Management-Phosphorus Timing (10,000 acres)
 - Applications of phosphorus are made in accordance to all elements of the Phosphorus Core practice, and are split across the growing season into multiple applications
- Proposed BMPs (Manure Storage)
 - Manure Storage Facilities (30,000 New Animal Units (AUs))
 - Any structure designed for collection, transfer and storage of manures and associated wastes generated from the confined portion of animal operations and complies with NRCS 313 (Waste Storage Facility) or NRCS 359 (Waste Treatment Lagoon) practice standards.
- Proposed BMPs (Dairy Precision Feeding)
 - o Dairy Cow Precision Feed Management (14,000 Dairy Cow Animal Units (AUs))
 - Dairy Precision Feeding reduces the quantity of phosphorus and nitrogen fed to livestock by formulating diets within 110% of Nutritional Research Council recommended level in order to minimize the excretion of nutrients without negatively affecting milk production.
- Proposed BMPs (Integrated System for Elimination of Excess)
 - Manure Transport out of Bedford County (2,000 dry tons/year)
 - Transport of excess manure in or out of a county. Manure may be of any type—poultry, dairy, or any of the animal categories. Transport should only be reported for county to county transport.
- Proposed BMPs (Land Retirement)
 - Retirement to Ag Open Space (1,200 acres)
 - Converts land area to hay without nutrients. Agricultural land retirement takes marginal and highly erosive cropland out of production by planting permanent vegetative cover such as shrubs, grasses, and/or trees.
- Implementation Considerations
 - Challenges
 - Farmer buy-in or resistance
 - BMP implementation funding
 - Conservation Plan capture and long-term verification processes
 - Limited technical staff resources
 - Opportunities for Success

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- Transect survey modifications to capture additional information
- One-on-one farmer engagements
- Engagement with the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation (NFWF) for a grant program specific to Bedford County for project implementation
- Resources for Implementation
 - Conservation District staff
 - Local NRCS staff
 - Penn State Extension
 - Farm Bureau
 - Non-profit entities (WPC, ACB, CBF, etc.)
 - Private consultants
 - State and federal agencies

RIPARIAN BUFFERS

- Description
 - The Riparian Buffers Priority Initiative will be managed by the Riparian Buffer Action Team.
 - Riparian buffers in multiple sectors (urban, agricultural, etc.) are captured by this initiative.
- Focus Areas
 - Model ordinance language or modifications to require buffers in new development and re-development projects.
 - Prioritize specific catchments/watersheds and headwaters for BMP implementation (along with agricultural areas).
 - Collaboration and coordination of multiple entities on the ground working to implement buffers across Bedford County.
- Proposed BMPs (Agriculture Riparian Zone)
 - Forest Buffer (2,300 new acres)
 - Linear wooded areas that help filter nutrients, sediments and other pollutants from runoff as well as remove nutrients from groundwater. The recommended buffer width is 100 feet, with a 35 feet minimum width required.
 - Forest Buffer with Streamside Exclusion Fencing (2,000 new acres)
 - Linear wooded areas with fencing installed to prevent livestock from grazing and trampling the buffer or entering the stream and that helps filter nutrients, sediments and other pollutants from runoff as well as remove nutrients from groundwater. The recommended buffer width is 100 feet, with a 35 feet minimum width required.
 - Grass Buffer (1,400 new acres)
 - Linear strips of grass or other non-woody vegetation maintained to help filter nutrients, sediment and other pollutants from runoff. The recommended buffer width for buffers is 100 feet, with a 35 feet minimum width required.

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- o Grass Buffer with Streamside Exclusion Fencing (900 new acres)
 - Linear strips of grass or other non-woody vegetation with fencing installed to prevent livestock from grazing and trampling the buffer or entering the stream and is maintained to help filter nutrients, sediment and other pollutants from runoff. The recommended buffer width for buffers is 100 feet, with a 35 feet minimum width required.
- Proposed BMPs (Urban/Developed Areas Riparian Zone)
 - MS4 Riparian Forest Buffers (40 new acres)
 - Linear wooded areas within MS4 areas that help filter nutrients, sediments and other pollutants from runoff to streams as well as remove nutrients from groundwater. The recommended buffer width is 100 feet, with a 35 feet minimum width required.
 - Non-MS4 Forest Buffers (140 new acres)
 - Linear wooded areas that help filter nutrients, sediments and other pollutants from runoff to streams as well as remove nutrients from groundwater. The recommended buffer width is 100 feet, with a 35 feet minimum width required.
- Implementation Considerations
 - o Challenges
 - Public buy-in and extent of local landowner willingness to participate.
 - Not enough boots on the ground for outreach and maintenance
 - BMP implementation funding
 - Municipal participation
 - Opportunities for Success
 - Buy-in for buffers on all public and semi-public lands
 - Tie incentives with buffer implementation and maintenance.
 - A growing emphasis on buffers from multiple funding sources
 - CBF's K10 campaign
 - Resources for Implementation
 - Non-profit partners (ACB, CBF, NFWF, etc.)
 - Conservancy partners (Western Pennsylvania Conservancy, etc.)
 - Local and state agencies (BCCD, DCNR, etc.)
 - Penn State Extension
 - Groundwater and sourcewater collaboratives
 - Extensive and compassionate volunteers

POINT SOURCE POLLUTION

- Description
 - There are a significant number of septic systems across Bedford County.
 Improving operational efficiencies, designs, maintenance activities, etc. would lead to improved conditions and appropriate nutrient reductions.
 - The Point Source Pollution initiative will be managed by the Point Source Pollution Action Team

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

- Focus Areas
 - Wastewater treatment plants (including small package plants)
 - Expanding the understanding of the extent of septic systems across the county.
- Proposed BMPs (Septic Systems)
 - Septic Denitrification-Conventional (100 systems)
 - The septic system should employ a 50% denitrification unit for pretreatment of waste with no enhanced in situ treatment system within the soil treatment unit. This BMP should be used only for systems that employ recirculating media filters (RMF) or integrated fixed-film activated sludge (IFAS) pre-treatment technologies, but do not employ enhanced in situ treatment systems.
- Implementation Considerations
 - Challenges
 - Non-centralized inventory of septic systems
 - Landowner buy-in for system improvements
 - Extent of OLDS enforcement or implementation
 - Opportunities for Success
 - Targeted outreach and subsequent improvements once extent of number of systems in small regions is understood
 - Resources for Implementation
 - Municipal partners
 - Local and state agencies (BCPC, DEP, etc.)
 - Local engineers/consultants

STORMWATER

- Description
 - Bedford County includes urban/suburban, rural, forested, industrial/commercial, and open spaces not related to agricultural operations.
 - o Implementation of most non-agricultural sector or non-agricultural related operations BMPs is captured by this initiative.
 - The Stormwater Priority Initiative will be managed by the Stormwater Action Team.
- Focus Areas
 - Developed or suburban areas across the county
 - Potential model ordinance(s)
 - Regional improvements
 - Coordination with other planning efforts (e.g. Hazard Mitigation Plan update)
 - Capture of unreported land development BMPs
 - Dirt & Gravel Roads opportunities
- Proposed BMPs (Stream and Wetland Restoration)
 - Urban Stream Restoration (30,000 new linear feet)
 - Refers to any Natural Channel Design (NCD), Regenerative Stream Channel (RSC), Legacy Sediment Removal (LSR), or other restoration

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

project in an urban/suburban environment that meets the qualifying conditions for credits, including environmental limitations and stream functional improvements.

- Non-urban Stream Restoration (48,000 new linear feet)
 - Refers to any Natural Channel Design (NCD), Regenerative Stream Channel (RSC), Legacy Sediment Removal (LSR), or other restoration project in non-urban/suburban environments that meets the qualifying conditions for credits, including environmental limitations and stream functional improvements.
- Wetland Restoration (150 acres)
 - The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural/historic functions to a former wetland.
- Proposed BMPs (Control Measures for Illicit Discharges)
 - Advanced Grey Infrastructure for IDD&E Control (250 acres treated)
 - Illicit discharge detection and elimination credits are only available to localities that show empirical monitoring for each eligible individual discharge.
- Proposed BMPs (Industrial Stormwater)
 - Impervious Surface Reduction (1 acre)
 - Reducing impervious surfaces to promote infiltration and percolation of storm water runoff.
- Proposed BMPs (Fertilizer Legislation)
 - Urban Nutrient Management (3,400 acres)
 - The proper management of major nutrients for turf and landscape plants on a property to best protect water quality.
- Proposed BMPs (Stormwater Control Measures)
 - Wet Ponds and Wetlands (40 acres treated)
 - A water impoundment structure that intercepts stormwater runoff then releases it to an open water system at a specified flow rate. These structures retain a permanent pool and usually have retention times sufficient to allow settlement of some portion of the intercepted sediments and attached nutrients/toxics. There is little or no vegetation living within the pooled area. Outfalls are not directed through vegetated areas prior to open water release.
 - Stormwater Performance Standards-Runoff Reduction (350 acres treated)
 - The total post-development runoff volume that is reduced through canopy interception, soil amendments, evaporation, rainfall harvesting, engineered infiltration, extended filtration or evapo-transpiration.
 - Bioretention/Raingardens (15 acres treated)
 - An excavated pit backfilled with engineered media, topsoil, mulch, and vegetation. These are planting areas installed in shallow basins in which the storm water runoff is temporarily ponded and then treated by filtering

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

through the bed components, and through biological and biochemical reactions within the soil matrix and around the root zones of the plants.

- Vegetated Open Channels (10 acres treated)
 - Open channels are practices that convey stormwater runoff and provide treatment as the water is conveyed. Runoff passes through either vegetation in the channel, subsoil matrix, and/or is infiltrated into the underlying soils.
- Filtering Practices (5 acres treated)
 - Practices that capture and temporarily store runoff and pass it through a filter bed of either sand or an organic media. There are various sand filter designs, such as above ground, below ground, perimeter, etc. An organic media filter uses another medium besides sand to enhance pollutant removal for many compounds due to the increased cation exchange capacity achieved by increasing the organic matter.
- Proposed BMPs (Dirt & Gravel Road Program)
 - Outlets only (5,500 linear feet)
 - Reduce the amount of sediment runoff from dirt and gravel roads through the use of additional Drainage Outlets (creating new outlets in ditchline to reduce channelized flow)
 - Driving Surface + Outlets (8,000 linear feet)
 - Reduce the amount of sediment runoff from dirt and gravel roads through the use of driving surface aggregates (DSA) such as durable and erosion resistant road surface and through the use of additional Drainage Outlets (creating new outlets in ditchline to reduce channelized flow).
 - Driving Surface + Raising the Roadbed (54,000 linear feet)
 - Reduce the amount of sediment runoff from dirt and gravel roads through the use of driving surface aggregates (DSA) such as durable and erosion resistant road surface and raising road elevation to restore natural drainage patterns.
- Implementation Considerations
 - Challenges
 - Municipal buy-in for BMP implementation
 - BMP funding streams
 - Opportunities for Success
 - Large regional stream/floodplain restoration projects providing significant reductions while providing other benefits important to the community (e.g. flood reduction).
 - Resources for Implementation
 - Local engineers/consultants
 - Local and state agencies (BCCD, BCPC, DEP, etc.)
 - Local developers and businesses
 - Non-profit partners (NFWF, TU, etc.)

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

- Description
 - The overall approach for education and outreach needs to be reimagined with a more effective methodology. General public and farmer buy-in is a consistent hurdle that will need to be overcome to realize long-term success of CAP implementation.
 - o Education and outreach efforts compliment the efforts of the other action teams
 - o No BMPs for implementation are considered by this initiative.
- Focus Areas
 - Countywide
- Implementation Considerations
 - Challenges
 - Public buy-in and extent of local landowner willingness to participate.
 - Implementation fatigue, "spinning wheels", or loss of interest by the general public or stakeholders.
 - Apathy
 - Opportunities for Success
 - Re-imagined education and outreach approach to increase public knowledge and buy-in.
 - Resources for Implementation
 - Secured website platform.
 - PADEP, NRCS, DCNR, Penn State Extension, etc. provided tools and materials.
 - Existing county-based support materials

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

REPORTING AND SUPPORT DOCUMENTS

Reporting and support documents included in the CAP are:

- Proposed BMPs for Implementation
 - Outlines specific BMPs and total quantities proposed for implementation and delineated between the agricultural and non-agricultural (developed/other) sectors
- Initiatives Tracking Document(s) (PADEP Planning Template)
 - Summarizes Priority Initiatives in a tracking spreadsheet
 - Tracking documents include:
 - Preservation of Natural Areas
 - Agriculture
 - Riparian Buffers
 - Point Source Pollution
 - Stormwater
 - Education & Outreach
- Programmatic Recommendations Document (PADEP Programmatic Template)
 - Summarizes programmatic and/or policy change recommendations that would reduce challenges or hurdles for successful CAP implementation
- Bedford County Snapshot
 - Executive summary document for the CAP updated periodically to reflect progress, CAP modifications, and so on

Bedford County Agriculture Best Management Practices (BMPs) Proposed CAP Implementation Rates

Best Management Practice	Amount	Units of Measure	Percent of Total Available Acres
Agricult	ure Compliance		
Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans	102,000	Total Acres	78%
Nutrient Management Core N	76,000	Total Acres	63%
Nutrient Management Core P	22,000	Total Acres	17%
Barnyard Runoff Control	9	New Acres	68%
S	oil Health		
Tillage Management-High Residue	26,000	Acres/Year	51%
Tillage Management-Conservation	10,000	Acres/Year	19%
Cover Crop Traditional	13,000	Acres/Year	26%
Cover Crop Traditional with Fall Nutrients	17,000	Acres/Year	33%
Cover Crop Commodity	1,200	Acres/Year	N/A
Prescribed Grazing	12,000	Total Acres	50%
Expanded N	utrient Managemen	t	
Nutrient Management Core N	13,000	Acres	17%
Nutrient Management Core P	3,500	Acres	7%
Nutrient Management N Rate	10,000	Acres	8%
Nutrient Management P Rate	10,000	Acres	8%
Nutrient Management N Placement	13,000	Acres	10%
Nutrient Management P Placement	10,000	Acres	8%
Nutrient Management N Timing	14,000	Acres	12%
Nutrient Management P Timing	10,000	Acres	8%
Manure S	Storage Facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities	30,000	New AU's	80%
Dairy Pro	ecision Feeding		
Dairy Cow Precision Feed Management	14,000	Dairy Cow AU's	70%
Integrated System	for Elimination of I	Excess	
Manure Transport out of Bedford County	2,000	Dry Tons/Year	N/A
Agricultu	re Riparian Zone		
Forest Buffer	2,300	New Acres	20%
Forest Buffer-Streamside with Exclusion Fencing	2,000	New Acres	17%
Grass Buffer	1,400	New Acres	13%
Grass Buffer-Streamside with Exclusion Fencing	900	New Acres	3%
Land	Retirements		
Retirement to Ag Open Space	1,200	Acres	N/A

The agriculture BMP implementation rates provided above are based on a combination of the state recommendations identified in the Chesapeake Bay Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) and the Bedford Countywide Action Plan (CAP) Steering Committee. The BMPs and rates will serve as a guide during the implementation phase and may be adjusted or changed based on new opportunities, success rates, and measured progress.

Bedford County Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs) Proposed CAP Implementation Rates

Best Management Practice	Amount	Units of Measure	Percent of Total Available Acres
Urban/Develope	d Areas Riparian Z	one	
MS4 Riparian Forest Buffers	40	New Acres	1%
Non-MS4 Forest Buffers	220	New Acres	3%
Woods and	Pollinator Habitat		
Conservation Landscaping	150	New Acres	N/A
Urban Forest Planting	200	New Acres	N/A
Urban	Tree Canopy		
MS4 Urban Tree Canopy	5	New Acres	N/A
Forest, Farm, and Na	atural Areas Conse	ervation	
Farmland Conservation	3,900	Total Acres	N/A
Forest Conservation	4,000	Total Acres	N/A
Wetland Conservation	160	Total Acres	N/A
Stream and W	etland Restoratio	n	
Urban Stream Restoration	30,000	New Linear Feet	N/A
Non-urban Stream Restoration	48,000	New Linear Feet	N/A
Wetland Restoration	150	Acres	N/A
Control Measure	s for Illicit Discha	rges	
Advanced Grey Infrastructure IDD&E Control	250	Acres Treated	<1%
Industria	al Stormwater		
Impervious Surface Reduction	1	Acres	N/A
Fertilize	er Legislation		
Urban Nutrient Management	3,400	Acres	9%
Septi	c Systems		
Conventional Septic Denitrification	100	Systems	N/A
Stormwater	Control Measures		
Wet Ponds and Wetlands	40	Acres Treated	N/A
Stormwater Performance Standard-Runoff Reduction	350	Acres Treated	N/A
Bioretention/Raingardens	15	Acres Treated	N/A
Vegetated Open Channels	10	Acres Treated	N/A
Filtering Practices	5	Acres Treated	N/A
Dirt & Grav	el Road Program		
Outlets Only	5,500	Linear Feet	N/A
Driving Surface + Outlets	8,000	Linear Feet	N/A
Driving Surface + Raising the Roadbed	54,000	Linear Feet	N/A

The stormwater BMP implementation rates provided above are based on a combination of the state recommendations identified in the Chesapeake Bay Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) and the Bedford Countywide Action Plan (CAP) Steering Committee. The BMPs and rates will serve as a guide during the implementation phase and may be adjusted or changed based on new opportunities, success rates, and measured progress.

	Phase 3 Watersh	ed Implementation Plan	(WIP) Planning	and Progre	ss Templa	te								
		Green - action has been com	pleted or is movin	g forward as pl	anned <u>Ye</u>	low - action has encou	ntered minor obstac	les <u>Red</u> - act	ion has not l	been taken d	or has encount	ered a serious b	arrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		Resources <u>Ava</u>	ilable			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
Priori	ity Initiative 1: Prese	rvation of Natural Area	ns											
1.1	Limit future development in current natural areas		County, Municipalities, PNA Action Team	Countywide	Late 2020 and beyond	Municipal buy-in								
1.2	Promote and assist implementation of Wood and Pollinator Habitat in priority areas	Conservation Landscaping – 150 new acres Urban Forest Planting – 200 new acres	BCCD, BCPC, non-profits	Countywide with initial focus on priority catchments	Late 2020 - 2025 (and beyond)	Tight timeframe for significant BMP implementation Long-term verification processes	Local consultants/ engineers Municipalities BCCD Non-profits (WPC, etc.)		NFWF, GG(DEP), EPA, DCNR Municipal				Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$225,000)	
1.3	Promote and assist implementation of Urban Tree Canopy in priority areas	Urban Tree Canopy – 5 new acres	Municipalities	Countywide with initial focus on priority catchments	Late 2020 - 2025 (and beyond)	Build on existing urban forest areas	Local consultants/ engineers Municipalities		NFWF, GG(DEP), EPA, DCNR Municipal					
1.4	Promote and assist implementation of Forest, Farm, and Wetland Conservation BMPs in priority areas	Farmland Conservation – 3,900 total acres Forest Conservation – 4,000 total acres Wetland Conservation – 160 total acres	County, BCCD, Municipalities, PNA Action Team, non- profits (WPC, etc.)	Countywide with initial focus on priority catchments	Late 2020 – 2025 (and beyond)	Tight timeframe for significant BMP implementation	Local consultants/ engineers Municipalities BCCD Non-profits (WPC, etc.) County		NFWF, GG(DEP), EPA, DCNR				Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$725,000)	

		PNA Action	Countywide	Game plan	Resistance and/or lack	State and local		Legal	
1.5	Explore potential for Bedford County-based preservation and conservation program	Team, BCPC	,	by late	of centralized program	agencies and reports		considerations	

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

		Green - action has been comp	aleted or is movin	g forward as pl	anned Val	low - action has encour	ntered minor obstac	les Red act	ion has not l	hoon takon d	or has encounte	red a serious b	narrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		Resources <u>Ava</u>		oeen taken o	or nas encounte		es <u>Needed</u>	
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
Priori	ty Initiative 2: Agricu	ılture							<u>'</u>					<u>'</u>
2.1	Develop a game plan for potential increased efficiencies or nutrient reductions with fertilizer applications		Ag Action Team, local dealers, farmers	Countywide	Game plan by early 2021	Per the county technical toolbox, the majority of nitrogen applied to agricultural land is via fertilizers (70%)	Local dealers Penn State, BCCD							
2.2	Implement and/or capture developed conservation plans into PracticeKeeper		BCCD, NRCS	Countywide	Plan developed by end of 2020; initial imp. In 2021	Ensures capture of implemented BMPs for long-term verification processes Potential time and resource limitations for plan entry	Local agencies	BCCD, NRCS			Staff for plan entry		Funding for staff for plan entry	
2.3	Promote and assist implementation of Agricultural Compliance practices in priority areas	Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans (90,000 total acres) Core Nitrogen Nutrient Management (76,000 total acres) Core Phosphorus Nutrient Management (22,000 total acres) Barnyard Runoff Controls (9 new acres)	Ag Action Team, BCCD, NRCS, Penn State, farmers	Contiguous agriculture land use areas, with initial focus on red-coded catchment areas (or where current initiatives are underway)	2021 – 2025 (and beyond)	Farmer resistance or buy-in Resources to write plans	Local experts and agencies	BCCD, NRCS, consultants	EQIP State Reimb Program	NRCS DEP			Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$2.0 million)	

2.4	Promote and assist implementation of Soil Health practices in priority areas	High Residue Tillage Management (26,000 acres/year) Conservation Tillage Management (10,000 acres/year) Traditional Cover Crops (13,000 acres/year) Traditional Cover Crops with Fall Nutrients (17,000 acres/year) Commodity Cover Crops (1,200 acres/year) Prescribed Grazing (12,000 total acres)	Ag Action Team, BCCD, NRCS, Penn State, farmers	Contiguous agriculture land use areas, with initial focus on red-coded catchment areas (or where current initiatives are underway)	2021 – 2025 (and beyond)	Farmer resistance or buy-in Modification of official definitions would be helpful	Local experts and agencies	BCCD, NRCS, consultants	EQIP	NRCS	Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$1.89 million)
2.5	Promote and assist implementation of expanded nutrient management practices in priority areas	Core Nitrogen Nutrient Management (13,000 acres) Core Phosphorus Nutrient Management (3,500 acres) Nutrient Management- Nitrogen Rate (10,000 acres) Nutrient Management- Phosphorus Rate (10,000 acres) Nutrient Management- Nitrogen Placement (13,000 acres) Nutrient Management- Phosphorus Placement (10,000 acres) Nutrient Management- Nitrogen Timing (14,000 acres) Nutrient Management- Phosphorus Timing (10,000 acres)	Ag Action Team, BCCD, NRCS, Penn State, farmers	Contiguous agriculture land use areas, with initial focus on red-coded catchment areas	2021 – 2025 (and beyond)	Farmer resistance or buy-in	Local experts and agencies	BCCD, NRCS, consultants	EQIP	NRCS	Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$2.92 million)

2.6	Promote and assist implementation of improved animal unit practices in priority areas	Manure Storage Facilities – 30,000 AUs Dairy Precision Feeding – 14,000 Dairy Cow AUs Manure Transport out of Bedford County – 2,000 dry tons/year	Ag Action Team, BCCD, NRCS, Penn State, farmers, manure haulers/ brokers, ag retail entities	Contiguous agriculture land use areas, with initial focus on red-coded catchment areas	2021 – 2025 (and beyond)	Farmer resistance or buy-in	Local experts and agencies	BCCD, NRCS, consultants, haulers, contractors	EQIP NRCS			Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$3.6 million)	
2.7	Promote and assist implementation of land retirement BMPs	Retirement to Ag Open Space – 1,200 acres	County, BCCD, landowners	Countywide (where appropriate)	2021 - 2025	Capture lands already retired						Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$203,000)	
2.8	Assist Riparian Buffers AT with implementation of buffers in agricultural riparian zones in priority areas		RB Action Team, Ag Action Team	Countywide	2021- 2025 (and beyond)								
2.8	Expand implementation of cover crops (specific focus on alternative approaches that may count as reductions)		Ag Action Team	Countywide	Late 2020 – mid 2021	Limited definition of cover crops and what would count as a reduction	BMP Quick Reference Guide Local experts and agencies	BCCD, NRCS, consultants, Penn State, DEP, Capital RC&D		Alternative approaches validation	Penn State, NRCS, Capital RC&D		

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

		Green - action has been comp	oleted or is moving	g forward as pla	anned <u>Yel</u>	ow - action has encour	ntered minor obstac	cles <u>Red</u> - act	tion has not l	been taken o	or has encounte	ered a serious l	parrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		Resources <u>Ava</u>	<u>ilable</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
Priori	ty Initiative 3: Ripari	an Buffers	I			1				ı			ı	
3.1	Promote and assist implementation of buffers in agricultural riparian zones in priority areas	Forest Buffer (2,300 new acres) Forest Buffer with Streamside Exclusion Fencing (2,000 new acres) Grass Buffer (1,400 new acres) Grass Buffer with Streamside Exclusion Fencing (900 new acres)	RB Action Team, BCCD, non-profit partners, farmers	Contiguous agriculture land use areas, with initial focus on red-coded catchment areas	2021 – 2025 (and beyond)	Farmer resistance or buy-in	Local experts and agencies, non-profit partners (WPC, etc.)	BCCD, NRCS, consultants, non-profits	EQIP	NRCS			Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$2.07 million)	
3.2	Promote and assist implementation of buffers in nonagricultural riparian zones in priority areas	MS4 Riparian Forest Buffers (40 new acres) Non-MS4 Forest Buffers (140 new acres)	BCCD, non- profits, municipalities	Countywide with initial focus on priority catchments	Late 2020 - 2025 (and beyond)	Tight timeframe for significant BMP implementation Long-term verification processes	Local consultants/ engineers Municipalities BCPC Non-profits (WPC, ACB, etc.)		NFWF, GG(DEP), EPA, DCNR Municipal				Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$75,000)	
3.3	Explore model ordinance language for requiring buffers in development projects		BCPC, RB Action Team	countywide	Game plan by late 2020 (followed by imp.)									

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.

- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

	Phase 3 Watersh	ed Implementation Plan ((WIP) Planning	and Progre	ss Templat	te								
		Green - action has been com	pleted or is movin	g forward as pl	anned <u>Yel</u>	low - action has encour	ntered minor obstac	les <u>Red</u> - act	ion has not l	oeen taken o	or has encounte	red a serious b	arrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		Resources <u>Ava</u>	ilable			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
Priori	ty Initiative 4: Point	Source Pollution		<u>'</u>	'		'		<u>'</u>					
4.1	Develop or acquire more comprehensive inventory of septic systems in the county		PSP Action Team, BCPC, municipalities	Countywide	Game plan by early 2021 (followed by imp.)	Scattered information and data may take time to centralize								
4.2	Ascertain status of wastewater treatment facilities (including small treatment plants) and corresponding needs for improvements		PSP Action Team, BCPC, municipalities	Countywide	Game plan by late 2020 (followed by imp.)	Additionally determine Act 537 plan update needs	Local consultants/ engineers WWTP operators						537 plan update funds	
4.3	Promote and assist the implementation of septic system improvements	Septic Denitrification, Conventional – 100 systems	PSP Action Team, BCPC, municipalities	Countywide with initial focus on priority catchments	Mid 2021- 2025 (and beyond)	Funding for improvements, homeowner resistance	Local consultants/ engineers						BMP implementation dollars (~\$120,000)	

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

		Green - action has been comp	oleted or is moving	g forward as pl	anned <u>Yel</u>	low - action has encou	ntered minor obstac	iles <u>Red</u> - act	ion has not b	een taken o	or has encounte	ered a serious l	barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		Resources <u>Avai</u>	lable			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
Priori	ty Initiative 5: Develo	oped/Urban Stormwate	er	I	I			<u> </u>	I	I			<u> </u>	
5.1	Develop model ordinances focused on water quality and stormwater management		SW Action Team, BCPC, municipalities	Countywide	Game plan by early 2021 (followed by imp.)	Focus on preservation and long-term maintenance of implemented BMPs Updated SWMO(s) Floodplain management Municipal resistance	Local consultants/ engineers BCPC						Ordinance development funding	
5.2	Capture unreported land development BMPs		PADEP	Countywide	On-going	Reconciliation of toolbox quantities with on-the-ground conditions								
5.3	Identify regional project opportunities in select watersheds		LSI, BCCD	NFWF priority watersheds	Late 2020- mid-2021	Focus on stream restoration, streambank stabilization, dirt & gravel roads opps.	BCCD, LSI		Awarded grant (~\$47k)	NFWF				
5.4	Fertilizer legislation		State			For turf grass areas								
5.5	Pursue regional stream and wetland restoration projects that provide significant additional benefits and reductions	Urban Stream Restoration (30,000 new linear feet) Non-urban Stream Restoration (48,000 new linear feet) Wetland Restoration (150 acres)	TU, BCCD, WPC, watershed groups, non- profits, municipalities	Countywide	Current – 2025 (and beyond)	TU project (in Bedford) WPC projects (RB AT mostly) Tie into planned Hazard Mitigation Plan update efforts	Local consultants/ engineers Non-profits (TU, etc.)		NFWF, GG, EPA, DCNR				Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$23.5 million)	

5.6	Promote and assist implementation of urban/suburban sector controls for nutrient and sediment reductions	Advanced Grey Infrastructure for IDD&E Control (250 acres treated) Impervious Surface Reduction (1 acre) Urban Nutrient Management (3,400 acres)	Municipalities SW Action Toom	Countywide with initial focus on urban communities and priority catchments	Late 2020 - 2025 (and beyond)	Urban nutrient management is dependent on fertilizer legislation Tight timeframe for significant BMP implementation Long-term verification processes No MS4 communities in Bedford County	Local consultants/ engineers Municipalities	NFWF, GG, EPA, munic.		Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$17,000)	
5.7	Promote and assist implementation of stormwater control measures that incorporate Low Impact Development (LID) approaches	Wet Ponds and Wetlands (40 acres treated) Stormwater Performance Standards-Runoff Reduction (350 acres treated) Bioretention/Raingardens (15 acres treated) Vegetated Open Channels (10 acres treated) Filtering Practices (5 acres treated)	SW Action Team, BCPC, municipalities	Countywide with initial focus on priority catchments	Late 2020 - 2025 (and beyond)	Tight timeframe for significant BMP implementation Long-term verification processes Partially tied to capture of unreported BMPs	Local consultants/ engineers	NFWF, GG(DEP), EPA, DCNR, developers		Full BMP implementation dollars (~\$600,000)	
5.8	Promote and assist implementation BMPs tied to the Dirt & Gravel Road program	Outlets only – 300 linear feet Driving Surface + Outlets – 1,000 linear feet	BCCD, BCPC, SW Action Team, municipalities	Countywide	2021 – 2025 (and beyond)	Stabilization of rural areas with WQ improvements	BCCD Local engineers	Full BMP imp. dollars (~\$1,000)	D&GR program		

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.


Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).



	Phase 3 Watersho	ed Implementation Plan ((WIP) Planning	and Progre	ss Templa	te								
		Green - action has been com	pleted or is movin	g forward as pl	anned <u>Yel</u>	low - action has encou	ntered minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - act	ion has not l	been taken (or has encount	ered a serious b	arrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		Resources <u>Avai</u>	<u>lable</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
Priori 6.1	Provide support to other action teams with development of supporting education and outreach materials	tion and Outreach	Educ. Action Team	Countywide	On-going	Consolidate existing materials (incl. CAPspecific materials developed by DEP) Build coalitions with existing partners and entities (WPC, ACB, etc.)								
6.2	Develop, implement, and manage a website with CAP supporting information specific to Bedford County		Educ. Action Team, CAP Coord.	Countywide	Late 2021 and beyond		Website secured							
6.3	Provide oversight and guidance for the Bedford CAP Communications Plan		Educ. Action Team, CAP Coord.	Countywide	Game plan by early 2021 (followed by imp.)	Develop "re- imagined" outreach approaches and messages Determine additional needs, focus areas, etc. for improved messaging								

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

		Phase 3 Water	shed Implementa	tion Plan (WIP) Programmatic I	Recommendations Template				
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges	Potential Recommendations on Improvement		Resources	s <u>Needed</u>	
						Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
Progi	rammatic/Policy Recor	nmendations: Bedford C	ounty						
1.1	Expand the definition for cover crops to include other successful approaches accepted and working in Bedford County		2021	Limited definitions for cover crops approaches	Ability to expand the definition(s) and conditions for cover crop timing, harvesting methods, etc. to encompass additional approaches observed that work at the local level and should count as reductions				
1.2	Act 537 Plan funding		2021 and beyond		Dedicated funding stream for continuous 537 plan updates			\$\$\$	
1.3	Watershed/regional permitting approaches		Immediate		Alleviates administrative hurdles for implementation of similar projects or projects in a confined area				

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. **Inputs** The statewide and/or federal policies, regulations, initiatives, programs, funding and resources that will help your county meet its goal.
- 2. **Process** What are the changes that need to occur for the county to be successful in the process? These are the action items listed under each priority recommendation.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes Both short and long-term. These are the programmatic recommendations identified by each county. Performance targets identify your county's needed change in order to meet your county goal.
- 4. **Implementation challenges** Any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Programmatic Recommendation: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or changes to the current policy and regulation. A programmatic or policy effort will allow for the completion of cation items listed in the Planning and Progress Template.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The performance target details the programmatic change that will enable you to complete the action items identified in the Planning and Progress Template.

This can be a further description of the challenge to implementation from the Planning and Progress Template.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the needed completion date for the programmatic recommendation that will assist your county in meeting its goal. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Potential Implementation Challenges = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description). Potential challenges may relate to your county Planning and Progress Template.

Potential Recommendations on Improvement = This field will note recommendations on how to change or improve the program (Description).


Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description).

BEDFORD COUNTYWIDE ACTION PLAN


Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.

APPENDIX

Bedford CAP Organizational Chart Projects and Initiatives Highlights Catchment Management Database



BEDFORD COUNTYWIDE ACTION PLAN Management Team Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources. **Bedford CCCWAP Bedford CCCWAP Bedford County Lead Agency Planning** Coordinator **Organizational Chart** Commission (BCCD) (LandStudies, Inc.) Stakeholders **Steering Committee Action Teams Leads** Partners and Champions **Preservation Point Source Developed Education and Riparian Agriculture** of Natural **Buffers** Stormwater **Pollution Outreach** Areas **Action Team Action Team Action Team Action Team Action Team Action Team** Ag and Septic Synchronize developed Regional Compliance and areas systems projects Conservation Website **Existing** Implementation soil health Natural



BEDFORD COUNTYWIDE ACTION PLAN

Working together to protect the future of Bedford County's natural resources.


PROJECTS AND INITATIVES HIGHLIGHTS

Bedford County Watershed Action Plan Development

- National Fish & Wildlife Foundation (NFWF) funded project (Small Watershed Grant) to conduct sub-watershed assessments of Spicer Brook ("Headwaters Raystown Branch Juniata River), Cumberland Valley Run (Raystown Branch Juniata River), and Beaverdam Creek and their related tributaries in Bedford County, PA to determine excessive sources of nutrients and sediment and provide recommendations for restoration or improvements within the sub-watersheds with the goal of identifying Best Management Practices (BMPs) for implementation to help achieve goals and objectives of the Bedford CAP. Project deliverables will include Watershed Action Plans (WAPs) that will identify priority restoration sites and the associated concept master plans for those sites.
- Project includes "boots-on-the-ground" assessments for large regional stream/floodplain restoration projects.

Trout Unlimited Streambank Stabilization and Restoration regional project

- Significant planned stream restoration and streambank stabilization project encompassing Bedford Borough and Bedford Township
- Western PA Conservancy (WPC) Riparian Plantings, Instream Habitat & Stabilization, and Agricultural Riparian Zone Fencing/Crossings Initiative
 - Continued efforts across Bedford County to build upon implemented BMPs through working with local landowners and local groups.
 - To date, WPC has spearheaded efforts resulting in nearly 80,000 linear feet of riparian zone fencing, nearly 50 crossings, improved habitat and stabilization of over 5,400 linear feet of stream, over 9 acres of new riparian buffers, and miscellaneous agriculture improvements (manure storage facilities, barnyard controls, etc.).





CATCHMENT MANAGEMENT DATABASE (CMD)

Bedford Countywide Action Plan (Bedford CAP)

Purpose: Organized database of captured information and data delineated by USGS catchments across Bedford County to assist with stakeholder guidance, prioritization, and BMP identification with the intent to balance theoretical nutrient and sediment reductions with real-world improvements addressing legitimate and localized water quality related problems.

Database Organization: Excel-based spreadsheet with the following information:

- Identifier (HUC-10 watershed-HUC-12 watershed-unique number assignment)
- Name (general reference based on geographic locale)
- Predominant Land Use (ag vs developed vs natural)
- Impaired Streams (Yes or No)
- General Geologic Classifications (freestone vs limestone)
- Hydrogeomorphic Classifications (ML, VRC, etc.)
- Urbanized Area (Yes or No)
- Sediment Loading Categorization (Red-Yellow-Green light rating system)
- Nitrogen Loading Categorization (Red-Yellow-Green light rating system)
- Phosphorus Loading Categorization (Red-Yellow-Green light rating system)
- WQ Data (Yes or No)
- WQ Data Adjustment Factor (TBD)
- Qualitative Adjustment Note (Varies)
- Qualitative Adjustment Factor (TBD)
- Catchment Score (weighted system based on categorization and adjustment factors)
 - Lower score equates to poor conditions relative to other catchments and higher prioritization for BMP implementation


Red-Yellow-Green Light Rating System (scoring)

- Red = significantly impaired; Yellow = vulnerable, fair; Green = optimal conditions
- Scoring:

Green: 4.50 – 5.00
Yellow: 2.51 – 4.49
Red: 0.00 – 2.50

Incremental Loadings vs. Mass Loadings

- Most recent USGS SPARROW incremental and mass loading data used to generate maps and score catchments.
- Mass Loading refers to the pollutant load "moving through" the stream reaches of the catchment (influenced by immediate and upstream areas)
- Incremental Loading refers to pollutant loadings from immediate areas in the catchment



BEDFORD COUNTY CATCHMENT MANAGEMENT DATABASE

	ORD COUNTY CATCHMENT N	I	TENT DATABASI	- T	1	ı	T	1 1		1110051	51.51.1.01.511.0	50001110	Г				1	1			1	
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		030202-1	Headwaters Bobs Creek	Bobs Creek, and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone	APS, VRS	No													
		030202-2	Rhodes Run	Ciana Run, Rhodes Run	Forest	No	Siltstone	VRS	No													
		030202-3	Wallacks Branch	Wallacks Branch	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone	APS, VRS	No													
reek	Bobs Creek-Dunning Creek	030202-4	Wallacks Branch- Bobs Creek	Bobs Creek, and	Forest	No	Siltstone	VRS	No													
Bobs Cr	(20503030202)	030202-5	Deep Hollow Run-	Deep Hollow	Forest	No	Siltstone	VRS	No													
		030202-6	Bobs Creek - Upper	Bobs Creek, and unnamed	Forest	No	Siltstone	VRS	No													
		030202-7	Bobs Creek - Upper middle watershed	tributaries Bobs Creek, and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS	No													
		030202-8	Bobs Creek - Upper middle watershed	UNT Mud Run	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone, siltstone	VRC, VRS	No													
		030202-9	Bobs Creek - Lower watershed		Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale	VRS	No													
										INCREM	ENTAL LOADING	SCORING		MΔ	SS LOADING SCC	RING			WQ DATA			TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID		STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA		QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
		030404-1	Headwaters Sideling Hill Creek	Sideling Hill Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, shale	VRS	No													
Hill Creek	Crooked Run - Sideling Hill Creek	030404-2	Sideling Hill Creek	tributaries Sideling Hill Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone	VRS	No													
Sideling F	(20700030404)	030404-3	Headwaters to Crooked Run	tributaries Crooked Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Sandstone	VRS	No													
		030404-4	Lower Crooked Creek	Crooked Run	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
				tributaries																		
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	TOTAL	TOTAL	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO	TOTAL	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA		QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT
			Somerset County - Headwaters	Noin Bedford County	Forest	N/A	Siltstone	VRS	No		NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS			NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS			FACTOR			SCORE
			Shaffers Run 1 Somerset County - Headwaters	Noin Bedford	Forest	N/A	Siltstone, conglomerate	VRS / APS	No													
			Shaffers Run 2 Somerset County - Headwaters Wills Creek 1	Noin Bedford	Forest	N/A	Shale, conglomerate	APS	No													
			Somerset County - Headwaters Wills Creek 2	Noin Bedford County	Forest	N/A	Shale, conglomerate	APS	No													
		020506-1	Gooseberry Run - Headwaters Wills	Gooseberry Run, Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, conglomerate	VRS/ APS	No													
Wills Creek	Shaffers Run - Wills Creek (20700020506)	020506-2	Headwaters - Unnamed Tributaries to Wills Creek	tributaries to	Forest, AgricultureDeve loped	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
		020506-3	Headwaters - Mainstem Wills Creek		Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
		020506-4	Thompson Run		TEORAST	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
		020506-5	Middle Mainstem Wills Creek		Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
		020506-6	Lower Wills Creek	Wills Creek and unnamed	Forest, AgricultureDeve loped	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	Yes, portions													

			ī						•				•						T			
HUC-1	0 HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	/Q DATA ADJ	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		020602-1	Headwaters - Rocky Gap Run	and unnnamed		No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No		WITHOGEN	THOSTHOROS			WITHOGEN	THOSTHOROS			7.CTOR			
Evitts Creek	Rocky Gap Run - Evitts Creek (20700020602)	020602-2	Headwaters - Evitts Creek	tributaries Evitts Creek and unnnamed	Forest	Yes, unnamed	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
Evit	(2070002)	020602-3	Western Headwaters - Evitts	tributaries Unnamed tributaries to	Forest	tributary No	Shale, siltstone,	VRS/ VRC	No													
			Creek	Evitts Creek			sandstone															
		CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT		PRIMARY LAND	IMPAIRED		HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING		INC LDG SUB-	MA	SS LOADING SCO		MASS LDG SUB-		/Q DATA	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-1	0 HUC-12	GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME	STREAMS Fiintstone		STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	WQDATA	ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
		030102-1	Headwaters - Flinstone Creek	Creek, Little Pigeonroost Run, Pigeionroost Run, Wildcat	Forest	Yes	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
		030102-2	Lost Run	Lost Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	Yes, Lost Run	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
Town Creek	Flintstone Creek (20700030102)	030102-3	Flinstone Creek - Twigg Hollow Run	Flinstone Creek and unnamed tributaries, Twigg Hollow Run	Forest,	Yes, Twiggs Hollow Run	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
		030102-4	Laurel Branch	Laurel Branch	Forest	Yes	Sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
				Unnamed	1 0.030	163	Junustone	VIO, VIC	INU													
		030102-5	Headwaters Flintstone Creek	tributaries to Flinstone Creek	Forest	No	Sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
		030102-6	Lower Flintstone Creek	Flintstone Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS/ VRC	No													
		CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT		PRIMARY LAND	IMDAIDED		HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING	CORING	INC LDG SUB-	MA	SS LOADING SCOI	RING	MASS LDG SUB-	W	/Q DATA	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-1	0 HUC-12	GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME	STREAMS		STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	WQDATA	ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
		030105-1	Headwaters - Sweet Root Creek	Sweet Root Creek, Sweet Root Run, unnamed tributaries Town Creek.	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
		030105-2	Headwaters - Town Creek	Georgetown Branch, Blue Gap Run, unnamed	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
Town Creek	Sweet Root Creek- Town Creek (20700030104)	1 ハンハイハレン	Headwaters - Black Valley Branch	Black Valley Branch, unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
1		030105-4	Branch - Towns	Unnamed tributaries to Pond Branch	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
			Lower Town Creek	Pond Branch,	Forest	No	Sandstone, shale	VRS	No													
		030105-6	Headwaters - Amorine Branch	Amorine Branch	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
HUC-1	0 HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	/Q DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
nile Creek	Upper Fifteenmile Creek	030301-1	Headwaters - Upper Fifteenmile Creek	Fifteenmile Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS	No													
Fifteenm	(20700030301)	030301-2	Headwaters - Bear Camp Branch	Bear Camp Branch and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													

		T	T		1	1	1		I	INCDEM	ENTAL LOADING	CODING	1	1	CC I OADING CCO	DINC		1 140	DATA	1	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND		GEO. CLASS.	HGMR	URBANIZED		ENTAL LOADING : TOTAL	TOTAL	INC LDG SUB-		SS LOADING SCO	TOTAL	MASS LDG SUB-		DATA QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL CATCHMENT
		GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME		USE	STREAMS		CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS	SCORE		CTOR NOTES	FACTOR	SCORE
Lower Frankstown Branch Juniata River	Clover Creek (20503020304)	020304-1	Headwaters - Clover Creek	Clover Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agricultu	n No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone, limestone	VRS, VRC	No												
		CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT		PRIMARY LAND	IMPAIRED		HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING		INC LDG SUB-	MA	SS LOADING SCO	T	MASS LDG SUB-		DATA QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME	STREAMS	USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	WQDATA	ADJ NOTES	FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
Upper Frankstown Branch Juniata Rive	Halter Creek (20503020104)	020104-1	THEADWATERS - HAITER	Halter Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agricultu	n No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone, limestone	VRS, VRC	No												
		CATCHE	CATCHE		DDIAAAS	10.45.4:===		1101:-	1100.44===	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING	CORING	INC. DC CO	MA	SS LOADING SCO	RING	MACCIEC	WO	DATA	CUAL 15	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL	TOTAL	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL	TOTAL	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	ADJ QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT
				Negro Hollow,				02.00.	7	SEB IIVIEIVI	NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS	333112	SESTIVIETT	NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS	3332	FA	CTOR	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	SCORE
		030101-1	Headwaters - Kegg	Kegg Run and	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone,	APS, VRS	No												
			Run	unnamed tributaries			conglomerate	, , , , , , ,													
				tributaries Kegg Run,																	
		030101-2	Kegg Run -	Shawnee Branch and	Forest,	No	Shale	VRS, VRC	No												
ver			Shawnee Branch	unnamed	Agriculture																
aystown Branch Juniata Ri	Shawnee Branch - Shawnee Lake (20503030101)	030101-3	Headwaters -	Burns Creek, Shawnee Branch, Bentz Run	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone, conglomerate	APS, VRS	No												
Upper R		030101-4	Middle Shawnee Branch	Shawnee Branch and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
			Lower Shawnes	Shawnee Branch and	Forest																
		030101-5	Lower Shawnee Branch	Branch and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS	No												
				tributaries																	
		CATCHIAGATAT	CATCLINAENIT		DDIMARVIAND	INADAIDED		LCNAD		INCREM	ENTAL LOADING	CORING	INC LDC CLID	MA	SS LOADING SCO	RING	MACCIDOCUE	WO	DATA	OHAL ADI	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL	TOTAL	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL	TOTAL	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	ADJ QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT
				_			Siltstone,				NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS			NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS		FA	CTOR		SCORE
		030102-1	Breastwork Run	Breastwork Rur	n Forest	No	conglomerate	APS	No												
		030102-2	Headwaters -	Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS	No												
niata River		030102-3	Juniata River	Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale	VRS	No												
ıch Ju	Headwaters Raystown Branch Juniata River (20503030102)	030102-4	ikavsiown Branch	tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River	Agriculture	No	Shale	VRS	No												
town	•	020102.5	Spicor Pro-	Spicer Brook	Forest,	Va -	Shale, siltstone,	VDC	Nic												
Rays		030102-5	Spicer Brook	and unnamed tributaries	Agriculture	Yes	sandstone	VRS	No												
																· ·				·	

Upper		030102-6	Southern Headwaters Raystown Branch Juniata River	Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS	No													
		030102-7	Raystown Branch Juniata River South	Branch Juniata	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS	No													
		030102-8	Maintem Raystown Branch Juniata River	River Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS	No													
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING TOTAL NITROGEN	SCORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	· INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOR TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
nch Juniata River		030103-1	Headwaters - Buffalo Run	Milligan Run, Buffalo Run, Small Springs Branch and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No													
Raystown Bra	Buffalo Run (20503030103)	030103-2	Suphur Springs Creek	unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No													
Upper		030103-3	Mainstem Buffalo Run	Buffalo Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No													
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	TOTAL	TOTAL	· INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOF	TOTAL	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA		QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT
h Juniata R		030104-1	Headwaters - Shobers Run	Shobers Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No		NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS			NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS			FACTOR			SCORE
town Branc	Shobers Run (20503030104)	030104-2	Middle Shobers Run	Shobers Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No													
pper Rays		030104-3	Lower Shahars Run	Shobers Run	Forest,	No	Shale, siltstone,	VRS, VRC														
. –		030104-3		and unnamed		INO	sandstone	VKS, VKC	No													
		030104-3			loped	NO		VKS, VKC	No	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING	SCOPING		MA	SS LOADING SCOR	DING			MO DATA			TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT	tributaries STREAMS	loped PRIMARY LAND		sandstone	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING TOTAL NITROGEN	SCORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	· INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOR TOTAL NITROGEN	RING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME East Tributaries to	STREAMS Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR	URBANIZED AREA		TOTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID 030105-1	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME East Tributaries to Raystown Branch	STREAMS Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River And Juniata River and unnamed	PRIMARY LAND USE Forest	IMPAIRED STREAMS No	sandstone GEO. CLASS. Shale, siltstone,	HGMR CLASS. VRS, VRC	URBANIZED AREA No		TOTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID 030105-1	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME East Tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Upper Raystown Branch Juniata River	STREAMS Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed	PRIMARY LAND USE Forest Forest	IMPAIRED STREAMS No	sandstone GEO. CLASS. Shale, siltstone, sandstone Shale, siltstone,	HGMR CLASS. VRS, VRC	URBANIZED AREA No		TOTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
	HUC-12 Cumberland Valley Run- Raystown	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID 030105-1	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME East Tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Upper Raystown Branch Juniata River Middle Raystown Branch Juniata River	STREAMS Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries	PRIMARY LAND USE Forest Forest Forest	IMPAIRED STREAMS No	sandstone GEO. CLASS. Shale, siltstone, sandstone Shale, siltstone, sandstone Shale, siltstone,	HGMR CLASS. VRS, VRC	URBANIZED AREA No		TOTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
		CATCHMENT GROUPING ID 030105-1 030105-2 030105-3	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME East Tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Upper Raystown Branch Juniata River Middle Raystown Branch Juniata River Northwest Tributaries to Raystown Branch	STREAMS Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata	PRIMARY LAND USE Forest Forest Forest	IMPAIRED STREAMS No	sandstone GEO. CLASS. Shale, siltstone, sandstone Shale, siltstone, sandstone Shale, siltstone, sandstone	HGMR CLASS. VRS, VRC VRS, VRC	URBANIZED AREA No		TOTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
aystown Branch Juniata River	Cumberland Valley Run- Raystown	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID 030105-1 030105-2 030105-3	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME East Tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Upper Raystown Branch Juniata River Middle Raystown Branch Juniata River Northwest Tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River North Raystown Branch Juniata	STREAMS Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries	PRIMARY LAND USE Forest Forest Forest Forest	IMPAIRED STREAMS No No Yes	sandstone GEO. CLASS. Shale, siltstone, sandstone	HGMR CLASS. VRS, VRC VRS, VRC	URBANIZED AREA No No		TOTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
aystown Branch Juniata River	Cumberland Valley Run- Raystown	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID 030105-1 030105-2 030105-3	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME East Tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Upper Raystown Branch Juniata River Middle Raystown Branch Juniata River Northwest Tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River North Raystown Branch Juniata River Morth Raystown Branch Middle Mainstem Raystown Branch	STREAMS Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries to Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Cumberland Valley Run and	Forest	IMPAIRED STREAMS No No No Yes No	sandstone GEO. CLASS. Shale, siltstone, sandstone Shale, siltstone, sandstone	HGMR CLASS. VRS, VRC VRS, VRC	URBANIZED AREA No No No Yes		TOTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT

										INCREM	ENTAL LOADING S	SCORING		MA	SS LOADING SCOI	RING		WQ DATA			TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
		030201-1	Headwaters - Scrubgrass Creek	Scrubgrass Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone, conglomerate	VRS	No												
Creek	Scrubgrass Creek (20503030201)	030201-2	Middle Scrubgrass Creek	tributaries Scrubgrass Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale	VRS	No												
Bobs	301 d 351 d 35	030201-3	Mud Run	tributaries Mud Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
		030201-4	Lower Scrubgrass Creek	Scrubgrass Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
				tributaries						INICREMA	ENTAL LOADING	CODING				OING.					
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		030301-1	Headwaters - Dunning Creek	Dunning Creek and unnamed tributaries	Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
		030301-2	Headwaters East - Dunning Creek	Dunning Creek and unnamed tributaries	Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
		030301-3	Lower Headwaters East -Dunning Creek	Dunning Creek and unnamed tributaries Rocklick Creek	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
		030301-4	Rocklick Creek	and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone	VRS, APS	No												
		030301-5	Middle Dunning Creek	Dunning Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
Creek		030301-6	Lower Middle Dunning Creek	Dunning Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
Dunning	Upper Dunning Creek (20503030301)		Upper Ryot Run	Ryot Run and unnamed	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone	VRS, APS	No												
		030301-8	Lower Ryot Run	tributaries Ryot Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone	VRS, APS	No												
		030301-9	Dunning Creek	tributaries Dunning Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone	VRS, APS	No												
		030301-10	Headwaters - Barefoot Run	tributaries Barefoot Run and unnamed	Forest	No	Shale, siltstone	VRS, APS	No												
		030301-11	Barefoot Run	tributaries Barefoot Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, siltstone	VRS, APS	No												
		030301-12	Lower Dunning Creek	Dunning Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No												
										INCREM	ENTAL LOADING S	SCORING		MA	SS LOADING SCOI	RING		WQ DATA			TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
		030302-1	West Pranch	Georges Creek, West Branch Georges Creek and unnamed		No	Shale, siltstone, sandstone	VRS, APS	No		MINOGEN	THEST HORES			Nimedan	THOSTHOROS		Theren.			SCONE
			Georges Creek Headwaters -	tributaries Georges Creek	Forest,																
		030302-2	Mainstem Georges Creek	and unnamed tributaries Stone Creek and	Agricultura	No	Shale, sandstone		No												
			Stone Creek Upper Dunning	unnamed tributaries Dunning Creek	Agriculture	Yes	·	VRS, VRC	No												
		030302-4	Creek Headwaters -	and unnamed tributaries Adams Run and	Agriculture	No	Shale candstone	VRC	No												
ng Creek	Georges Creek- Dunning Creek	030302-5	Adams Run	unnamed tributaries Adams Run and	Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone		No												
Dunnin	(20503030302)	030302-6	Middle Adams Run Lower Middle	tributaries Adams Run and	Agriculture Forest,	No	Shale, sandstone		No												
		030302-7	Adams Run Tributary to Adams	unnamed tributaries Unnamed tributaries to	Agriculture Forest,	No No	Shale, sandstone Shale, sandstone		No No												
			Run Lower Adams Run	Adams Run Adams Run and unnamed	Forest,	No	Shale, sandstone Shale	VRS, VRC	No No												
		030302-10	Lower Dunning	tributaries Dunning Creek and unnamed	rorest,	No	Shale	VRC	No No												
		030302-10	Creek	tributaries	Agriculture	1,10	Shale	VINC	1,10												

			Eastern Tributary to Lower Dunning	and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRC	No												
		030302-12	Creek Dunning Creek	tributaries Dunning Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone	VRC	No												
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND		GEO. CLASS.	HGMR	URBANIZED		ENTAL LOADING S	CORING TOTAL	INC LDG SUB-		SS LOADING SCOI	RING TOTAL	MASS LDG SUB-	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL CATCHMENT
		030303-1		Oppenheimer Run and	USE Forest,	STREAMS	Shale, sandstone,	VRS, VRC	AREA No	SEDIMENT	NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	NITROGEN	PHOSPHORUS	1 S(()RF	FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	SCORE
				unnamed tributaries Dunning Creek	Agriculture	1.0	siltstone	They the													
		030303-2	Dunning Creek	and unnamed tributaries Dunning Creek	Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone, siltstone	VRS, VRC	No												
yee.		030303-3	Creek	and unnamed tributaries Imlertown Run	Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone, siltstone	VRS, VRC	No												
nning Cr	Lower Dunning Creek (20503030303)	030303-4	Imlertown Run	and unnamed tributaries Pleasant Valley	Agriculture	Yes	Shale, sandstone, siltstone	VRS, VRC	No												
ng		030303-5	Pleasant Valley Run	Run and unnamed tributaries Pleasant Valley	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Shale, sandstone, siltstone	VRS, VRC	No												
		030303-6	Pleasant Valley Run Imlertown Run	Run, Imlertowr Run and unnamed		Yes	Shale, sandstone, siltstone	VRS, VRC	No												
		030303-7	Lower Dunning	tributaries Dunning Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Shale, sandstone, siltstone	VRS, VRC	Yes												
HUC-10	HHC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREME SEDIMENT	NTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	CORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	RING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	– MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		030402-1	Brush Creek	Brush Creek, Chapman Run, Weimer Run, and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No		NITROGEN	FIIOSFIIONOS			NITROGEN	FIIOSFIIONOS		TACION			SCORE
eek		030402-2	Headwaters -	tributaries Shaffer Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No												
Brush Cre	Shaffer Creek (20503030402)	030402-3	Middle Shaffer	tributaries Shaffer Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No												
		030402-4	Tributaries to	Unnamed tributaries to Shaffer Creek	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone	VRS	No												
		030402-5	Lower Shaffer Creek	Shaffer Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone	VRS	No												
		CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT		PRIMARY LAND	IMPAIRED		HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREME	ENTAL LOADING S		INC LDG SUB-	МА	SS LOADING SCOI		MASS LDG SUB-	WQ DATA	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME Headwaters - Brush	STREAMS Brush Creek an	USE d Forest,	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
Creek	Brush Creek- Raystown Branch	030403-1	Creek	tributaries Brush Creek an	Agriculture	No Yes	Siltstone Siltstone	VRS VRS	No No												
Brush	Juniata River (20503030403)	030403-3		tributaries Brush Creek an unnamed	Agriculture d Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone	VRS	No												
		CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT	tributaries	PRIMARY LAND	IMPAIRED		HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREME	ENTAL LOADING S	SCORING	INC LDG SUB-	MA	SS LOADING SCOI	RING	MASS LDG SUB-	WQ DATA	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-10	HHC-12	GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME	STREAMS Cove Creek and	USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL	SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
ich Juniata l		030501-1	Creek	unnamed tributaries Swamp Run,	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
Raystown Branc	Cove Creek (20503030501)	()3()5()1-/	Swamp Run - Cove Creek	1 .	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone	VRS, VRC	No												
Middle Ray		030501-3	Lower Cove Creek	Cove Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone	VRS, VRC	No												
HUC-10	$\square \square C_{-}10$	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREME SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	CORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	RING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS		WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE

		1	_	ICanalan Dun	_	1		T	1						•			•	1		, 	
		030503-1	Gander Run - Clear Creek	Gander Run, Clear Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
iata River		030503-2	Tributaries to Clear Creek	Unnamed tributaries to Clear Creek	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
stown Branch Juni	Clear Creek (20503030503)	030503-3	Milk and Water Run - Deep Hollow Run	Milk and Water Run, Deep Hollow Run and unnamed tributaries		No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
Middle Ray		030503-4		Deer Lick Hollow Run, Clear Creek and unnamed		No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
		030503-5	Clear Creek	tributaries Clear Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS	Yes													
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	SCORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOR TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		030504-1	Headwaters West - Raystown Branch Juniata River	Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture, Developed	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale,limestone, dolomite	VRS, VRC	No													
		030504-2	Lower Headwaters West - Raystown Branch Juniata River		Forest, Agriculture, Developed	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale,limestone, dolomite	VRS, VRC	No													
		030504-3	Middle West - Raystown Branch Juniata River	Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture, Developed	No	Limestone, sandstone	VRS, VRC	Yes													
Siver		030504-4	Lower West - Raystown Branch Juniata River	Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries Black Valley	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale,limestone	VRS, VRC	No													
wn Branch Juniata I	Tub Mill Run- Raystown Branch Juniata River (20503030504)	030504-5	Black Valley Creek - Bloody Run	Creek, Bloody Run, Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed		Yes	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS	Yes													
Middle Raysto		030504-6	Lower Central - Raystown Branch Juniata River	River and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture, Developed	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS	No													
		030504-7	Greys Run	Greys Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone	VRS	No													
		030504-8	Central - Raystown Branch Juniata River	tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone	VRS	No													
		030504-9	Tub Mill Run	tributaries Tub Mill Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS	No													
		030504-10	Headwaters East - Raystown Branch Juniata River	Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, shale	VRS	No													
		030504-11	Raystown Branch Juniata River	tributaries Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
		CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT		PRIMARY LAND	IMPAIRFD		HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING		INC LDG SUB-	MA	SS LOADING SCOF		MASS LDG SUB-		WQ DATA	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME	STREAMS French Run and	USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS. Siltstone,	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	WQ DATA	ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
		030505-1	Trenentian	tributaries Johns Branch	Agriculture Forest,	No	sandstone Siltstone,	VRS VRS, VRC	No													
iver			Johns Branch Sherman Valley Rur	and unnamed tributaries Sherman Valley Run and	Agriculture Forest	No No	sandstone, shale Siltstone, shale,	VRS, VRC	Yes No													
ıch Juniata R				tributaries Pipers Run and unnamed		Yes	conglomerate Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
a I	Sandy Run- Raystown Branch luniata		<u> </u>	tributaries		<u> </u>		<u> </u>]	

Raystown Bra	River(20503030505)	030505-5	Upper Raystown Branch	Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS	No												
Middle		030505-6	Sandy Run - Longs Run	tributaries Sandy Run, Longs Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest	Yes	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS	No												
		030505-7	Lower Raystown Branch	Raystown Branch Juniata River and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale, conglomerate	VRS	No												
										INCREME	NTAL LOADING S	COPING		MAS	SS LOADING SCO	DING		WO DATA			TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS Beaver Creek	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
v Creek	Beaver Creek (20503030601)	030601-1 030601-2	Middle Beaver	and unnamed tributaries Beaver Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture Forest,	Yes Yes	Siltstone, shale, limestone Siltstone, shale,	VRS, VRC	No No												
Yellow	,		Creek	tributaries Beaver Creek	Agriculture Forest,		limestone Siltstone, shale,														
		030601-3	Lower Beaver Creek	tributaries	Agriculture	Yes	limestone	VRS, VRC	No												
11110 10	IIIIC 42	CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT	CTDEANAC	PRIMARY LAND	IMPAIRED	CEO CLASS	HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREME	NTAL LOADING S		INC LDG SUB-	MAS	SS LOADING SCOR		MASS LDG SUB-	WQ DATA	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME	STREAMS Yellow Creek	USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
		030602-1	Yellow Creek	and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Limestone	VRC	No												
		1 020602.2	Lower Headwaters -	Yellow Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Limestone	VRC	No												
ek		030602-3	Hickory Bottom Creek	Hickory Bottom Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Siltstone, shale, limestone	VRS, VRC	No												
ow Cree	Upper Yellow Creek (20503030602)	030602-4	Creek	tributaries Yellow Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Limestone	VRC	No												
Yellc			Potter Creek	tributaries Potter Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Siltstone, shale, limestone, dolomite	VRS, VRC	No												
		030602-6	Three Springs Run	Three Springs Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Siltstone, shale, limestone, dolomite	VRS, VRC	No												
		030602-7	Lower Yellow Creek	tributaries Yellow Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Siltstone, shale,	VRS, VRC	No												
				tributaries																	
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID		STREAMS Bear Run,	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		030603-1	Bear Run-Maple Run	Maple Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
eek		030603-2	lHeadwaters -	Unnamed tributaries to Yellow Creek	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
ow Cr	Lower Yellow Creek (20503030603)	030603-3	IMINUTE VEILOW	Unnamed tributaries to	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
Yell				Yellow Creek Bank Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
		030603-5	Lower Yellow Creek		Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
				tributaries			,														
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREME SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Great Trough Creek	Great Trough Creek (20503030702)	030702-1	Great Trough Creek	Great Trough Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, open	Yes	Siltstone, shale	VRS	No												
		CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT		PRIMARY LAND	IMPAIRED		HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREME	ENTAL LOADING S		INC LDG SUB-	MAS	SS LOADING SCO		MASS LDG SUB-	WQ DATA	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	GROUPING ID	GROUP NAME	STREAMS Snake Spring	USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	SCORE SCORE
luniata Ri		030502-1		Valley Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												

ystown Branch J	Snake Spring Valley Run (20503030502)	030502-2	Tributaries to Snake Spring Valley Run		Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
Middle Ray		030502-3	Lower Snake Spring Valley Run	·	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Brush Creeek	Little Brush Creek (20503030401)				The ac	reage of the	Little Brush Creek HI	UC-12 subwa	itershed withii	n Bedford County i	s very minimal an	d therefore, deta	ils on the subwate	ershed and associa	ated loading scor	es were not evalu	ated for this small	segment.			
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	SCORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	· INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA: SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Upper Frankstown Branch Juniata Rive	South Poplar Run-Frankstown Branch Juniata River (20503020102)	1 (1/(1)(1/-1	Big Lick Branch and South Poplar Run	Big Lick Branch and South Poplar Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, shale, conglomerate	VRS	No												
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA: SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
niata River		020101-1	Little Beaverdam Creek	Little Beaverdam Creek, Beaverdam Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale, conglomerate	VRS, VRC	No												
vn Branch Ju	Beaverdam Creek (20503020101)	020101-2	Beaverdam Creek	tributaries Beaverdam Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	Yes												
oer Frankstov		020101-3	Tributaries to Beaverdam Creek	Unnamed tributaries to Beaverdam Creek	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale, conglomerate	VRS	No												
Иdn		020101-4	Boiling Spring Run	Boiling Spring Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture, Developed	No	Sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	Yes												
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING S	TOTAL	· INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA: SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO	TOTAL	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT
South Fork Little Conemaugh	Beaverdam Run - South Fork Little Conemaugh (50100070401)			The	e acreage of the Bo	eaverdam Ru	n - South Fork Little	Conemaugh	HUC-12 subwa	atershed within Be	NITROGEN dford County is v	PHOSPHORUS ery minimal and t	herefore, details	on the subwatersl	NITROGEN hed and associate	PHOSPHORUS ed loading scores	were not evaluate	FACTOR			SCORE
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA: SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Shade Creek	Dark Shade Creek (50100070201)			The	e acreage of the Da	ark Shade Cre	eek HUC-12 subwate	rshed within	Bedford Cour	ity is very minimal	and therefore, de	tails on the subw	atershed and asso	ociated loading sco	ores were not ev	aluated for this sr	nall segment.				
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA: SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Clear Shade Creek	Clear Shade Creek (50100070202)				The ac	reage of the	Clear Shade Creek H	UC-12 subwa	atershed withi	n Bedford County	s very minimal ar	d therefore, deta	ils on the subwat	ershed and associ	ated loading scor	es were not evalu	uated for this smal	segment.			

		CATCUNATAIT	CATCUNATALT		DDIA A DVI A ND	10.40.410.50		LICAAR	11004411750	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING S	CORING	INCLES SUB	MA	SS LOADING SCO	RING	MASS LDG SLID	WQ DATA	OLIALITATIVE	01141 451	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
Lower Raystown Branch Juniata Rive	Shoup Run (20503030801)	030801-1	Headwaters - Coal Bank Run	Coal Bank Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest	Yes	Siltstone, shale, conglomerate	VRS	No												
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	RING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		030802-1	Shreves Run- Sixmile Run	River and unnamed	Forest	Yes	Siltstone, shale	VRS	No												
ch Juniata River		030802-2	Ravers Run- Dry Run	Raystown Branch Juniata River, Ravers Run, Dry Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
r Raystown Bran	Sixmile Run- Raystown Branch Juniata River (20503030802)	030802-3		Unnamed tributaries to	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
Lowe		030802-4	Sugar Camp Run	Sugar Camp Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
		030802-5	Lower Raystown Branch Juniata River	IRIVER and	Forest, Developed	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
		CATCHMENT	CATCHMENT		PRIMARY LAND	IMDAIDED		HGMR	URBANIZED	INCREM	ENTAL LOADING S	CORING	INC LDG SUB-	MA	SS LOADING SCO	RING	MASS LDG SUB-	WQ DATA	QUALITATIVE	QUAL ADJ	TOTAL
HUC-10	HUC-12	GROUPING ID		STREAMS	USE	STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	SCORE	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	NOTES	FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
ich Juniata River		030803-1	Headwaters - Shy Beaver Creek	Shy Beaver Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No												
Lower Raystown Brar	Shy Beaver Creek - Raystown Branch Juniata River (20503030803)	030803-2	Raystown Lake	Raystown Branch Juniata River	Forest	No	Siltstone	VRS	No												
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	CORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	RING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB-	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Sideling Hill Creek	Upper Sideling Hill Creek (20503040201)				The acreage of	f the Shy Beav	ver Creek- Raystowr	1 Lake HUC-1	2 subwatershe	d within Bedford (County is very mir	nimal and therefo	re, details on the	subwatershed ar	d associated load	ling scores were I	not evaluated for	this small segment.			
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING S TOTAL NITROGEN	CORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	· INC LDG SUB- SCORE	MA SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCO TOTAL NITROGEN	RING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		030402-1	Headwaters - West Branch Sideling Hill Creek	Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No												
Hill Creek	West Branch Sideling Hill Creek	030402-2	Tributaries to West Branch Sideling Hill Creek	tributaries Unnamed tributaries to West Branch Sideling Hill Creek	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No												


Sideling I	(20700030402)	030402-3	Middle West Branch Sideling Hill Creek	West Branch Sideling Hill Creek and unnamed tributaries West Branch	Forest, Agriculture	No	Sandstone	VRS	No													
		030402-4	Lower West Branch Sideling Hill Creek	Sideling Hill	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Hill Creek	East Branch Sideling Hill Creek (20700030401)	030401-1	Headwaters - East Branch Sideling Hill Creek		Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, shale	VRS	No													
Sideling		030401-2	East Branch Sideling Hill Creek	Sideling Hill Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, shale	VRS	No													
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	ENTAL LOADING TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Creek		030403-1	Headwaters - Piney Creek	Piney Creek, Blackberry Lick Run and unnamed	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
Sideling Hill	Piney Creek (20700030403)	030403-2	Johnson Branch	Johnson Branch and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
0,		030403-3	Piney Creek	Piney Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS	No													
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	T GEN CINCO	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	INCREM SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	SCORING TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
Tonoloway Creek	Little Tonoloway Creek (20700040101)				The acre	eage of the Lit	ttle Tonoloway Creel	k HUC-12 sub	watershed witl	iin Bedford Coun	ty is very minima	and therefore, d	etails on the subw	atershed and asso	ociated loading so	ores were not eva	aluated for this sr	nall segment				
HUC-10	HUC-12	CATCHMENT								INCREM	IENTAL LOADING											
		GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	SS LOADING SCOI TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	WQ DATA ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	TOTAL CATCHMENT SCORE
		020503-1		Little Wills Creek and unnamed			GEO. CLASS. Siltstone, sandstone			SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	1						WQ DATA				
		020503-1	GROUP NAME Headwaters - Little	Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills - Creek and unnamed	USE Forest,	STREAMS	Siltstone,	CLASS.	AREA	SEDIMENT		TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
		020503-1	GROUP NAME Headwaters - Little Wills Creek Lower Headwaters	Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills - Creek and unnamed tributaries Wolf Camp Run and unnamed	USE Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture	STREAMS	Siltstone, sandstone Siltstone,	CLASS. VRS	AREA No	SEDIMENT		TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
Creek	Little Wills Creek (20700020503)	020503-1 020503-2 020503-3	GROUP NAME Headwaters - Little Wills Creek Lower Headwaters Little Wills Creek	Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Wolf Camp Run and unnamed tributaries Tar Water Creek	Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture	No No	Siltstone, sandstone Siltstone, sandstone, sandstone, shale	VRS VRS	No No	SEDIMENT		TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
, O	Little Wills Creek (20700020503)	020503-1 020503-2 020503-3	GROUP NAME Headwaters - Little Wills Creek Lower Headwaters - Little Wills Creek Wolf Camp Run Tar Water Creek	Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Wolf Camp Run and unnamed tributaries Tar Water Creek and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest Forest	No No	Siltstone, sandstone Siltstone, sandstone, sandstone, shale	VRS VRS VRS	AREA No No	SEDIMENT		TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
ills Cre	Little Wills Creek (20700020503)	020503-1 020503-2 020503-3 020503-4	GROUP NAME Headwaters - Little Wills Creek Lower Headwaters Little Wills Creek Wolf Camp Run Tar Water Creek Littles Wills Creek -	Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Wolf Camp Run and unnamed tributaries Tar Water Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek, Mill Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest Forest Forest, Forest, Forest, Forest,	No No No	Siltstone, sandstone Siltstone, sandstone, shale Siltstone, shale Siltstone, shale	VRS VRS VRS	No No No	SEDIMENT		TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
ills Cre	Little Wills Creek (20700020503)	020503-1 020503-2 020503-3 020503-4	GROUP NAME Headwaters - Little Wills Creek Lower Headwaters Little Wills Creek Wolf Camp Run Tar Water Creek Littles Wills Creek - Mill Run Littles Wills Creek - Grim Run	Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Wolf Camp Run and unnamed tributaries Tar Water Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek, Mill Run and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek, Grim Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest Forest Forest, Agriculture	No No No	Siltstone, sandstone Siltstone, sandstone, shale Siltstone, shale Siltstone, shale Siltstone, shale Siltstone, shale	VRS VRS VRS VRS	No No No No	SEDIMENT		TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT
ills Cre	Little Wills Creek (20700020503)	020503-1 020503-2 020503-3 020503-4 020503-5	GROUP NAME Headwaters - Little Wills Creek Lower Headwaters - Little Wills Creek Wolf Camp Run Tar Water Creek Littles Wills Creek - Mill Run Littles Wills Creek - Grim Run Littles Wills Creek - Grim Run CATCHMENT	Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek and unnamed tributaries Wolf Camp Run and unnamed tributaries Tar Water Creek and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek, Mill Run and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek, Grim Run and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek, Grim Run and unnamed tributaries Little Wills Creek, Tiger Run and unnamed	Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest, Agriculture Forest Forest Forest, Agriculture	No No No No No No No	Siltstone, sandstone Siltstone, sandstone, shale Siltstone, shale Siltstone, shale Siltstone, shale Siltstone, sandstone, shale Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS VRS VRS VRS VRS	No No No No No			TOTAL PHOSPHORUS		SEDIMENT	TOTAL	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS		WQ DATA	ADJ			CATCHMENT


SIII)	020504-2	Gladdens Run	Gladdens Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale, conglomerate	VRS, VRC, APS	No													
									INCREM	IENTAL LOADING	SCORING		MA	SS LOADING SCOF	RING			WQ DATA			TOTAL
HUC-10 HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID		STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
	020601-1	Headwaters - Evitts Creek	lunnamed	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
	020601-2	Sand Spring Run	Sand Spring Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest	Yes	Siltstone, sandstone	VRS, VRC	No													
	020601-3	Evitts Creek	Evitts Creek and unnamed tributaries	Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
	020601-4	Middle Evitts Creek	Evitts Creek and	Forest, Agriculture	Yes	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
ម្តី	020601-5	Eastern Tributaries to Evitts Creek	Unnamed tributaries to	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
S Opper Evitts Creek (20700020001)	020601-6	Lower Middle Evitts Creek	Evitts Creek and	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
	020601-7	Lower Evitts Creek	Evitts Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
	020601-8	Growden Run	Growden Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
	020601-9	Oster Run	Oster Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
	1 11/11/11-11	Mainstem Evitts Creek	Evitts Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Sandstone, shale	VRS, VRC	No													
									INCREM	IENTAL LOADING	SCORING		MΔ	SS LOADING SCOF	RING			WQ DATA			TOTAL
HUC-10 HUC-12	CATCHMENT GROUPING ID	CATCHMENT GROUP NAME	STREAMS	PRIMARY LAND USE	IMPAIRED STREAMS	GEO. CLASS.	HGMR CLASS.	URBANIZED AREA	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	INC LDG SUB- SCORE	SEDIMENT	TOTAL NITROGEN	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MASS LDG SUB- SCORE	WQ DATA	ADJ FACTOR	QUALITATIVE NOTES	QUAL ADJ FACTOR	CATCHMENT SCORE
	020601-1	Wilson Run	Wilson Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRC	No													
	020601-2	Bushy Fork	tributaries	Forest, Agriculture	No	Siltstone, sandstone	VRC	No													
장 Wilson Run- Elk Lick Creek	020601-3	Headwaters - Elk Lick Creek	Elk Lick Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRC	No													
(20700030101)	020601-4	Middle Elk Lick Creek	Elk Lick Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRC	No													
	020601-5	Lower Elk Lick Creek	Elk Lick Creek and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRC	No													
	020601-6	Elk Lick Creek - Wilson Run	Elk Lick Creek, Wilson Run and unnamed tributaries	Forest	No	Siltstone, sandstone, shale	VRC	No													


Bedford County Catchment Groupings

Individual Catchment ID Numbers per Catchment Grouping ID per HUC12:


Bobs Creek-Dunning Creek










Rocky Gap Run-Evitts Creek










Upper Fifteenmile Creek














Buffalo Run




Shobers Run





Cumberland Valley Run-Raystown Branch Juniata River




Scrubgrass Creek








Lower Dunning Creek




Shaffer Creek







Cove Creek




Clear Creek




Tub Mill Run-Raystown Branch Juniata River




Sandy Run-Raystown Branch Juniata River





Beaver Creek




Upper Yellow Creek








Snake Spring Valley Run








South Poplar Run-Frankstown Branch Juniata River




Beaverdam Creek












Shoup Run





Sixmile Run-Raystown Branch Juniata River















Piney Creek










Upper Evitts Creek



Wilson Run-Elk Lick Creek

